

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1 through 8, 11, 12, 15, 21 through 23, 25 through 33, 36, 37, 38, 40 through 48, and 56 through 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Banham et al. ("*A Selective Update Approach to Matching Pursuits Video Coding*," "Banham" as cited in IDS). Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Chavala et al. (U.S. Pub. No.: 2003/0228068, "Dhavala"). Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Kawahara (U.S. Pat. No.: 6,393,393). Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Bordes et al. (U.S. Pat. No.: 6,535,555, "Bordes"). Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Tian et al. (U.S. Pub. No.: 2003/0179901, "Tian"). Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Yang et al. (U.S. Pat. No.: 5,648,987, "Yang"). Claims 34, 35 and 60 through 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Boon et al. (U.S. Pub. No.: 2005/0163216, "Boon"). Claims 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Kawahara and in further view of Tian. Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Bordes and in further view of Tian. Claims 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Kawahara and in further view of Yang. Claim 54 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Bordes and in further view of Yang. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Banham in view of Tian and in further view of Yang.

Claims 9, 13, 14, 24 and 39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

All pending independent claims are hereby amended to include allowable subject matter. Independent claims 1 and 31 are hereby amended to include allowable subject matter from claim 13. Independent claims 2 and 29 are hereby amended to include allowable subject matter from claim 39. Independent claims 26 and 32 are hereby amended to include allowable subject matter from claim 24. Independent claim 28 is hereby amended to include

**DOCKET NO.:** \*\*AV-0088

**PATENT**

**Application No.:** 10/585,497

**Office Action Dated:** August 3, 2009

allowable subject matter from claim 9. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

**DOCKET NO.:** \*\*AV-0088  
**Application No.:** 10/585,497  
**Office Action Dated:** August 3, 2009

**PATENT**

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Date: October 28, 2009

/ Kenneth R. Eiferman /  
Kenneth R. Eiferman  
Registration No. 51,647

Woodcock Washburn LLP  
Cira Centre  
2929 Arch Street, 12th Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891  
Telephone: (215) 568-3100  
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439